UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Gus	stavo Adolfo Ruesga-Escobedo	Case Number: _	11-6603M	
present and wa			vas held on November 29, 2011. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	FII ponderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
×	The defendant, at the time of the charge	ne defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	y	ears imprisonment.	
The Coat the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted	findings of the Pretrial Se in the record. ICLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION:	it will flee. s will reasonably assure S REGARDING DETENT	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, fro efendant shall be afforded a reasonable op	om persons awaiting or se portunity for private consi overnment, the person in of an appearance in conn	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour a charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the dection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.				
IT IS F Services suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be consid e District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATI	ED this 30 th day of November, 20	011.		
		Sur-		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge